CERTIFIED ESTIMATING professional (CEP)® CC Refreshing course By Prof. Karim El-Dash































































































Lease vs. Rent				
	Lease	Rent		
Meaning	It is a contract renting land, buildings, etc.,	Renting is an agreement where a payment is made for the temporary use of a good		
Flexibility	Not flexible	It is flexible		
Time	Long term	Short term		
Agreement	Pre determined and cannot be broken without breaking the lease	Pre determined and terms can be changed		
Mode of agreement	Written	Oral/Written		
Stability	Both landlord and tenant have stability	Not much stability		







































		Per Hour	
Base Salary Work Fringe Benefits:	ing	1,880 hrs/yr	= \$28.85
	company retirem PTO (holidays, v company medica government man (retirement, etc.)	ent contributions acation, sick time) al and life insurance idadted benefits	= \$1.91 = \$3.07 = \$2.55 = \$2.47
Total Cost Per Ho Benefits Adder	ır	= (\$38.85 - \$28.85 = \$10.00/28.85	5) = \$10.00 =\$34.7%
Karim El-Dash	www.projac	s.com	69



Civil Engineering Design Team					
No.	Classification	Hourly Base Wage	Extension		
2	Engineering Aides	\$14.00	\$28.00		
2	Junior Engineers	\$20.00	\$40.00		
4	Engineers	\$25.00	\$100.00		
2	Senior Engineers	\$30.00	\$60.00		
<u>1</u>	Eng. Supervisor	\$35.00	<u>\$35.00</u>		
11	Total		\$263.00*		
*Aver adde	age cost for the group er of 34.7% = \$32.21/h) = \$263.00/ 11 = \$23.91/ hour.	hour with benefits		




	Indi	rect I	ahor		
Indirect Positions	Duration On-Site (months)	No. of Positions	Worker Months	Monthly Rate*	Estimat
warobouso workors	10	0	24	\$3 500	\$94,000
warehouse workers	6	2	24	\$3,500 \$3,500	\$04,000 \$40,000
accounting clarks	10	2	12	\$3,300 \$3,000	\$42,000 \$45,000
accounting cierks	12	4	12	\$3,000 \$3,000	\$40,000 \$00,000
nouroll oun or door	0	4	0	\$3,800	\$22,800 \$20,000
first sid narsan	8	4	8	\$4,500	\$35,000
first aid person	12	1	12	\$4,000	\$48,000
satety engineer	10	1	10	\$4,600	\$46,000
office manager	12	1	12	\$5,200	\$62,400
clerical support	12	1	12	\$3,000	\$36,000
	6	1	6	\$3,000	\$18,000
On-site computer suppor	t 11	1	11	\$5,000	\$55,000
Project Manager	10	<u>1</u>	10	\$8,000	<u>\$80,000</u>
Total Indirect Labor					\$575,800
* monthly rate includ	les benefits				
	Deat				







Factors	s Affecting P (Cost)	roductivity
Jobsite Cond	itions Good Average Poor	+ 3% to 5% + 6% to 8% + 9% to 15%
Worker Skill	Level High Average Poor	+ 2% to 5% + 6% to 10% + 11% to 20%
Temperature Belo per c	w 40 degrees or abo degree of variance	ove 85 degrees add 1%
Work Weeks	in excess of 40 hour	s
	40 to 48 hours 49 to 50 hours 51 to 54 hours 55 to 59 hours 60 to 65 hours 66 to 72 Hours	+ 5%b to 10% + 11% to 15% + 16% to 20% + 21% to 25% + 26% to 30% + 31% to 40%
Karim El-Dash	www.projacs.com	78

sost for 100 LF of footin s = \$130.90
follows
od + 4%
rage + 8%
degrees +10%
+ 0 %
+22%

Estimates Adjustments							
		Chica	go, Illin	ois ¹	Los Angeles,	Califorr	nia ²
		Matl	Inst	Total	<u>Matl</u>	Inst	Total
02	Site Construction	86.0	91.0	89.8	89.5	109.0	104.5
03	Concrete (Summary)	100.6	134.6	117.6	108.2	115.6	111.9
04	Masonry	93.9	131.5	117.0	97.8	116.5	109.3
05	Metals	96.4	123.7	106.3	111.2	99.3	106
06	Woods & Plastics	103.3	128.9	116.5	99.6	117.3	108.7
07	Thermal & Moisture Protection	99.3	128.7	113.3	114.2	114.6	114.4
08	Doors & Windows	104.1	136.4	111.9	99.1	114.8	102.9
09	Finishes	89.4	129.9	110.1	108.5	116.6	112.7
Total (10-14) <i>(Define)</i>	100.0	123.7	105.0	100.0	114.5	103.1
15	Mechanical	100.0	124.5	111.3	100.2	114.0	106.6
16	Electrical	101.1	130.7	121.4	109.8	113.6	112.4
	Weighted Average	98.2	125.4	111.4	104.3	112.9	108.5
	Karim El-Dash	W	ww.projace	s.com			80



























































































Z-values						
Z	Pr(-z < Z < z) $Pr(Z < -z)$ or $Pr(Z > z)$				
1.00	.683	.158				
1.282	.80	.10				
1.645	.90	.05				
1.96	.95	.025				
2.00	.954	.023				
2.326	.98	.01				
2.576	.99	.005				
3.00	.997	.0015				

		-	5	\sim			
				3			
JOB	HRS/SFCA	JOB	HRS/SFCA	JOB	HRS/SFCA	JOB	HRS/SFCA
1	.050	6	.050	11	.040	16	.050
2	.050	7	.065	12	.055	17	.060
3	.065	8	.060	13	.045	18	.055
4	.055	9	.050	14	.050	19	.070
5	.050	10	.045	15	.065	20	.045

Per	centage	Distribu	tion
	(3	
COLUMN	COLUMN	COLUMN	COLUMN
1	2	3	4
rate (SFCA)	frequency (f)	cum. freq. (cf)	cum. percent (%)
.040	1	20	100
.045	3	19	95
.050	7	16	80
.055	3	9	45
.060	2	6	30
.065	3	4	20
.070	1	1	5
	<i>n</i> = 20		

Cun	nulativ	ve Proba	ability
		CB	
Rate (HRS/SFCA)	Frequency	Probability of Occurrence	Cumulative Probability
.070	1	5 percent	100 percent
.065	3	15 percent	95 percent
.060	2	10 percent	80 percent
.055	3	15 percent	70 percent
.050	7	35 percent	55 percent
.045	3	15 percent	20 percent
.040	1	5 percent	5 percent

















ics /			
Formulas	for Ea	conomi C3	ic Analysis
Formula Name	Operation	Symbol	Formula
Single-Payment Compound Amount	P to F	(F/P, 1%, n)	F=P (1+I) ⁿ
Present Worth	F to P	(P/F,I%, n)	P=F(1+I)-n
Uniform Series Sinking Fund	F to A	(A/F,I%, n)	$A=F[I/((1+I)^n - 1)]$
Capital Recovery	P to A	(A/P,I%, n)	$A = P[(I(1 + I)^n))/(1 + I)^n - 1]$
Compound Amount	A to F	(F/A,I%, n)	$F = A[((1 + I)^n - 1)/I]$
Equal Series Present Worth	A to P	(P/A,I%, n)	$P = A[((1 + I)^n - 1) / I(1 + I)^n]$
Arithmetic Uniform Gradient Present Worth	G to P	(P/G,I%, n)	$P = G[((1 + I)^n - In - 1)/(I^2(1+I)^n]$
Karim El Dash	1404	w projace com	











Projacs				
		Ν	JPV	
If an investr	ment m	av be given by t	CS the sequence of c	cash flows and i=10%:
	Year	Cash flow	PV]
	0	(1,000,000)	(1,000,000)	-
	1	300,000	272,727	-
	2	500,000	413,223	1
	3	500,000	375,657	1
	Sum	300,000	61,607	
NPV = 61,6	07			
Karim E	El-Dash	wv	ww.projacs.com	144


















Sensitivity Analysis						
Base	1,000	\$1 per	\$1 per	Total		
	units	unit	unit	\$2,000		
Sensitivity 1	1,200	\$1 per	\$1 per	Total		
Additional	units	unit	unit	\$2,400		
200 units	+20%	labor	material	+20%		
Sensitivity 2 Labor at \$1.50	1,000 units	\$1.50 per unit labor +50%	\$1 per unit material	Total \$2,500 +25%		







ojacs		
	Sample Question #1	
-	<u> </u>	
Production several p	n rates for placing concrete in wall forms are recorded on rojects and shown in the following table:	
Project	Crew hours / cubic yard	
1	0.375	
2	0.680	
3	0.420	
4	0.481	
5	0.555	
6	0.621	
7	0.587	
Karin	n El-Dash www.projacs.com	158



Projacs			_				
Sample Question #2							
_			- 11				
If the tota calculate projects.	l yards placed per pl the weighted averag	roject are as shown in the following production rate experienced on	ng table, n these				
Project 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Cubic yards pla 1,200 426 391 288 61 55 126	aced					
Karim	El-Dash	www.projacs.com	160				
































































































































































	Primary Characteristic		Secondary Characteristic							
STIMATE CLASS	MATURITY LEVEL OF PROJECT DEFINITION DELIVERABLES Expressed as % of complete definition	END USAGE Typical purpose of estimate	METHODOLOGY Typical estimating method	EXPECTED ACCURACY RANGE Typical +/- range relative to index of 1 (i.e. Class 1 estimate)	PREPARATION EFFORT Typical degree of effort relative to least cost index of 1 ^(b)					
Class 5	0% to 2%	Screening or feasibility	Stochastic (factors and/or models) or judgment	4 to 20	1					
Class 4	1% to 15%	Concept study or feasibility	Primarily stochastic	3 to 12	2 to 4					
Class 3	10% to 40%	Budget authorization or control	Mixed but primarily stochastic	2 to 6	3 to 10					
Class 2	30% to 75%	Control or bid/tender	Primarily deterministic	1 to 3	5 to 20					
Class 1	65% to 100%	Check estimate or bid/tender	Deterministic	1	10 to 100					
Kar	im El-Dash	www.pr	ojacs.com		241					

	Primary Characteristic	Secondary Characteristic						
ESTIMATE CLASS	MATURITY LEVEL OF PROJECT DEFINITION DELIVERABLES Expressed as % of complete definition	END USAGE Typical purpose of estimate	METHODOLOGY Typical estimating method	EXPECTED ACCURACY RANGE Typical variation in low and high ranges ^[a]				
Class 5	0% to 2%	Concept screening	Capacity factored, parametric models, judgment, or analogy	L: -20% to -50% H: +30% to +100%				
Class 4	1% to 15%	Study or feasibility	Equipment factored or parametric models	L: -15% to -30% H: +20% to +50%				
Class 3	10% to 40%	Budget authorization or control	Semi-detailed unit costs with assembly level line items	L: -10% to -20% H: +10% to +30%				
Class 2	30% to 75%	Control or bid/tender	Detailed unit cost with forced detailed take-off	L: -5% to -15% H: +5% to +20%				
Class 1	65% to 100%	Check estimate or bid/tender	Detailed unit cost with detailed take-off	L: -3% to -10% H: +3% to +15%				
Kar	im El-Dash	www.projac	cs.com	242				

	Primary Characteristic	Se	condary Characteristic			
E STIM ATE CLASS	MATURITY LEVEL OF PROJECT DEFINITION DELIVERABLES Expressed as % of complete definition		METHODOLOGY Typical estimating method	EXPECTED ACCURACY RAN Typical variation in I and high ranges [©]		
Class 5	0% to 2%	Functional area, or concept screening	SF or m ² factoring, parametric models, judgment, or analogy	L: -20% to -309 H: +30% to +509		
Class 4	1% to 15%	or Schematic design or concept study	Parametric models, assembly driven models	L: -10% to -20% H: +20% to +309		
Class 3	10% to 40%	Design development, budget authorization, feasibility	Semi-detailed unit costs with assembly level line items	L: -5% to -15% H: +10% to +20		
Class 2	30% to 75%	Control or bid/tender, semi-detailed	Detailed unit cost with forced detailed take-off	L: -5% to -10% H: +5% to +15%		
Class 1	65% to 100%	Check estimate or pre bid/tender, change order	Detailed unit cost with detailed take-off	L: -3% to -5% H: +3% to +10%		
ote:[a] The s repre of co Cos	state of construction complexity esents typical percentage variation infidence) for given scope. st Estimate Classification	and availability of applicable refere on of actual cost from the cost estin Matrix for Building and Gen	nce cost data affect the range ma nate after application of continge eral Construction Industrie	rkedly. The +/- value ncy (typically at a 50% l es		













Estimate	Mat	urity 3	y Ma	ıtrix			
	ESTIMATE CLASSIFICATION						
	Class 5	Class 4	Class 3	Class 2	Class 1		
MATURITY LEVEL OF PROJECT DEFINITION DELIVERABLES	0% to 2%	1% to 15%	10% to 40%	30% to 75%	65% to 100%		
Existing Site Plan	Р	Р	с	с	с		
Demolition Plan and/or Drawings	s	Р	Р	с	с		
Utility Plan and/or Drawings	s	Р	Р	с	с		
Site Electrical Plan and/or Drawings	s	Р	Р	с	с		
Site Lighting Plan and/or Drawings	s	S/P	Р	с	с		
Site Communications Plan and/or Drawings	s	S/P	Р	с	с		
Erosion Control Plan and/or Drawings	s	S/P	Р	с	с		
Stormwater Plan and/or Drawings	s	S/P	Р	с	с		
Karim El-Dash	www.proja	acs.com			250		







Estin	nating Algorithms
Sa	imple Question
Given the following ir would the Lang facto	formation on actual solid process plant costs, what r be?
Total Installed Cost Direct Cost Equipment Cost	\$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$560,000
A. 3.57 B. 2.32 C. 0.28 D. 1.54	
Karim El-Dash	www.projacs.com 254















:5				
Int	ternatio	nalizat	io	n
	(\sim		
Length Conversion		S		
Imperial/IISA unit	Metric (SI) unit	Metric (SI) unit	Imr	perial/IISA unit
Inch	2.54 centimeters	Centimeter	0.39) inches
Foot	30.48 centimeters	Meter	3.28	B feet
Yard	0.91 meters	Meter	1.09) yards
Mile	1.61 kilometers	Kilometer	0.62	2 miles
Neight (or mass) con Imperial/USA unit	<u>Iversion</u> Metric (SI) unit	Metric (SI) un	it	Imperial/USA uni
Ounce (weight)	28.35 grams	Gram		0.035 ounces
Pound	0.45 kilograms	Kilogram		2.21 pounds
UK ton (2240 pounds)	1.02 metric tons	Metric ton (1000 kg.)		0.98 UK tons
US ton (2000 pounds)	0.91 metric tons	Metric ton (1000 k	‹g.)	1.10 US tons
	100000			2









Rev.	level of			
	Completion	Estimating Responsibility	Source Information	Date
	Defined	PM	Owner PM	
	Defined	Process	Process Engineer	
	Specific	PM	Owner PM	
	Defined	Civil Engineer	Owner Civil Engineer	
	Defined			
	Defined			
	Preliminary			
	Defined			
	Complete or Near			
	Defined			
	Defined			
	Factored			
	Defined			
		Defined Specific Defined Defined Preliminary Defined Defined Defined Defined Defined Defined Defined Defined Scomplete or Near Defined Defined Defined Defined	Defined Process Specific PM Defined Civil Engineer Defined Preliminary Defined Defined Defined Defined	Defined Process Process Specific PM Owner PM Defined Civil Engineer Owner Civil Defined Engineer Owner Civil Defined Preliminary Defined Defined Defined Image: Complete or Near Defined Defined Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defined Image: Complete or Near Image: Complete or Near Defi

















Projacs												1
Capa	aci	ty	ŀ	a	cto	r (La	n	g)			
PROCESS Direct Costs	ALL	SOLID	Proce	<u>ss</u>	FLUID &	SOLID	Proces	s (*)	<u>ALL</u>		roces	<u>)S</u>
Dursh cood Equipment	<u>Mat'l</u> L	.abor	<u>Total</u> 1	IC%	<u>Mat'l L</u>	abor [<u>Total</u> 1	C%	<u>Mat'l L</u>	<u>abor</u>	<u>iotal</u> 1	FC%
Purchased Equipment	1.000	0.024	1.00	20 %0	1.000	N/AI	1.00	24 %0	1.000	0.024	1.00	20%
Site Development	0.014	0.024	0.04	170	0.014	0.024	0.04	190	0.014	0.024	0.04	190
Concrete	0.010	0.023	0.00	2%	0.010	0.023	0.00	2%	0.010	0.023	0.00	2%
Structural Steel	0.106	0.050	0.16	4%	0.103	0.040	0.14	3%	0.100	0.030	0.13	3%
Buildings	0.016	0.006	0.02	1%	0.016	0.006	0.02	1%	0.016	0.006	0.02	0%
Pipina	0.200	0.160	0.36	9%	0.307	0.242	0.55	13%	0.520	0.450	0.97	19%
Instrumentation & Controls	0.100	0.200	0.30	8%	0.100	0.215	0.32	7%	0.140	0.280	0.42	8%
Electrical	0.109	0.086	0.20	5%	0.109	0.086	0.20	5%	0.088	0.072	0.16	3%
Insulation	0.020	0.004	0.02	1%	0.030	0.004	0.03	1%	0.060	0.012	0.07	1%
Painting	0.009	0.060	0.07	2%	0.009	0.060	0.07	2%	0.008	0.050	0.06	1%
Direct Costs =	1.63	0.67	2.30	59%	1.74	0.77	2.50	59%	1.99	1.01	3.00	59%
PROCESS Indirect Costs												
Labor Indirects & Field Costs	0 160	0 392	0.55	14%	0 176	0 4 2 4	0.60	14%	0 220	0 500	072	14 %
Contractor Engineering & Fee	0.015	0.703	0.72	18%	0.016	0.759	0.78	18%	0.020	0.890	0.91	18%
Owner Engineering & Oversight	0.080	0.242	0.32	8%	0.082	0.267	0.35	8%	0.085	0.330	0.42	8%
Total PROCESS Direct and Indirect =	1.88	2.01	3.89	100%	2.01	2.22	4.22	100%	2.32	2.73	5.04	100%
Karim El-Dash			www.	projac	cs.com			_			2	276





Projacs	Capacity Factor Relat (example 2)	ionship
બ્લ	150,000 BBL/day plant in Malaysia	\$50M
୦୫	deduct items costs	-\$10M
୦୫	adjusted cost for scope =	\$40M
ଔ	place adjustment (x 1.25) =	\$50M
୦୫	escalate to 2010 (x 1.06) =	\$53M
ശ	factor = $53M \times (100/150)^{.75}$ =	\$39M
ଔ	add pollution requirements $(+\$5M) =$	\$44M
	Karim El-Dash www.projacs.com	279





	()
Type of Plant	Factor
Solid Process Plant	3.10
Solid-Fluid Process Plant	3.63
Fluid Process Plant	4.74
The following is an example of a <mark>La</mark> luid process plant:	ang Factor estimate for a

1	Ais			C4	Eqmt	- 54		
Acet No	tem Description	Factor	Labor \$	Eqmt \$	Equal Factor	Total	Mult	Total
	Column	-		650,000	01	1.365.000	-	-
6.2	Vertical Vessels	-		540.000	- 12	1,728,000	2	-
63	Horizondal Vessels	-		110.000	Cas	A & 8000 0000	0	
54	Shell & Tube Heat Exchangers	-		630.000	2.5	1.575.000		-
55	Plate Heat Eschangers			110.000	2.0	220,000		-
66	Purrus, Motor Driven	-		765,000	34	2.001.000		
	7	1 1 1 1 1	marr	2,805,000		Courses		_
	DIRECT FIELD COSTS	25%	1,038,000			7,753,000	2.8	53.8%
1.11		Orbec		-			-	<u> </u>
10	Temporary Construction Facilities							
11	Construction Services/Supplies/Consumables							
12	Field Staff/Subsistence/Expense				-		· · · ·	-
13	Payroll Burdens/Benefits/Insurance			(3				
.14	Construction Equipment/Touls	m	-		-		-	
16	International Expense							
-	INDIRECT FIELD COSTS	115%	1			2,229,000	7	15.5%
	TOTAL RELD COSTS		4			9.982.000	3.6	69.2%
					_			-
20	Project Management			1				
21	Project Controls/Estimating					2		-
22	Project Procurement							
23	Project Construction Management	-					-	_
24	Engineering/Design							
26	Home Office Expenses						-	-
	HOME OFFICE COSTS	30%	3			2,326,000		16.1%
1	Č.	GIGPU	.1			Contraction of the		
	TOTAL FIFLO and HOME OFFICE COSTS				-	12,308,999	4.4	- 85.3%
30	Change of Constra						-	-
31	Protect Corrects allocates Crists	35	OFFEC			233,000		
32	Encelation	1	the for the	-		6.0.07/0/0		
33	Other Nor-Assignable Costs	the second se	Contra da	0				
34	Continuency	15%	Of Above	5		1 001 000	-	
38	Fee		the second second second			1000.0000	-	1
9 - NYA 4	OTHER PROJECT COSTS	and shaded	and a labor	1 m		2,114,000		14.7%
			-			- 966-611	-	
	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS		1			\$14,422,000	5.1	100.05
	Karim El-Dash	www	v.projacs.com				2	83







Parametric Estimate of
Indirect Cost

Projacs

	Proposed Ranges
Field Supervision & Field Office Expenses	25.0% to 41.0%
Temporary Facilities & Structures	9.0% to 18.0%
(Includes Temporary Support Systems & Utilities)	
Construction Equipment & Tools	20.0% to 35.0%
Construction Consumables & Small Tools	9.0% to 15.0%
Statutory Burdens & Benefits	40.0% to 50.0%
Misc. Overhead & Indirects	2.5% to 6.0%
Profit/Fees for Construction Management	1.5% to 2.5%
Mobilization/Demobilization	4.0% to 6.5%
Scaffolding	4.0% to 6.0%
Total	115% to 180%
Karim El-Dash www.projacs.com	287

Parametric Estimate of Equipment					
Equipment	Proposed Models	Parameter Ranges	%AAD	Coefficients	
Pressure Vessels (Carbon Steel)	$C_{E} = \exp[A_{1} + B_{1}\ln(W) + C_{1}\ln(W)^{2}]$	180 < W < 621,000 2 < P < 20	21%	$A_1 = -1.731737$ $B_1 = 0.5598$ $C_1 = 0.024773$	
Pressure Vessels (Stainless Steel)	$C_{E} = \exp[A_{2} + B_{2}In(W)]$	168 < W < 108,849 2 < P < 5	27.6%	A ₂ = -2.788577 B ₂ = 0.94935	
Atmospheric Storage Tanks (Carbon Steel)	$C_{E} = exp[A_{3} + B_{3}ln(W)]$	2,800 < W < 1,540,000	4.2%	A ₃ = -4.619487 B ₃ = 0.9892	
Separation Tower (Carbon Steel)	$C_{E} = \exp[A_{4} + B_{4}ln(W) + C_{4}ln(W)^{2}]$	5,360 < W < 178,000 3.5 < P < 30	12.8%	A ₄ = 13.271536 B ₄ = -2.253712 C ₄ = 0.154118	
Separation Tower (Stainless Steel)	$C_{E} = \exp[A_{6} + B_{5}In(W) + C_{6}(L/D)]$	6,400 < W < 39,000 1.4 < (L/D) < 21.3 3.5 < P < 37	37%	$A_5 = -2.484312$ $B_5 = 0.964302$ $C_5 = 0.04109$	
Shell and Tube Heat Exchangers – BEU Type (Carbon Steel)	$C_{E} = exp[A_{6} + B_{6}ln(W)]$	4,400 < W < 77,400 7 < P < 85	3.2%	A ₆ = -2.910474 B ₆ = 1.016550	
Oil Injected Screw Compressor	$C_{E} = \exp[A_{y} + B_{y}W_{P} + C_{y}W_{P}^{A}0.5]$	7 < ₩ _P < 315 7 < P < 85	9.2%	$\begin{array}{l} A_7 = 2.193159320 \\ B_7 = -0.01059287 \\ C_7 = 0.450875824 \end{array}$	
Karim El-Dash www.projacs.com				288	










Sui	nmary Take	-Off
Commodity/Activity	Take-Off Method	Pricing Method
Earthwork		Quoted subcontract rates.
Site Work		Quoted unit rates.
Hydro∨ac		Quoted day rate.
Piling		Quoted unit rates.
Concrete	Civil design drawings, vendors shop drawings and soil tests.	Quoted rates for materials and/or subcontracts.
Steel		
Architectural/Buildings		Quoted subcontract rates.
Equipment	Equipment list.	80% budget quotes.
Piping	Supplied by piping engineering.	
Heat Tracing	Heat tracing and insulation specifications, piping take- offs and vendor quotations. Steam supply and condensate return headers are to be estimated based on heat load requirements and in	
Karim El-Dash	www.projacs.com	21





	Costing
Sa	mple Question
A derrick barge platform and th mobilization/de installation tim much will it co	e will be used to install an offshore ne daily rate is \$320,000 per day and a emobilization cost of \$200,000. The ne is expected to be five days. How st to install the offshore platform?
A. \$1,600,000	
B. \$1,160,000	
C. \$1,800,000	
D. \$1,700,000	



Projacs	
	Pricing
Samp	ole Question
Your direct cost estimat applies the following m expense (G&A) 5%, con markups are compound	e is \$2,000,000. Your organization harkups general and administrative tingency 10%, profit 7%. The led. What is your total project cost?
A. \$2,440,000	
B. \$2,630,490	
C. \$3,907,136	
D. \$2,471,700	
Karim El-Dash	www.projacs.com 299









Estin	nate Allov	vances
Commodity	Design/Material Take-Off (MTO) Allowance	Construction Waste Allowance
Earthwork	10%	12%
Site Work	12%	10%
Piling – Drilled Caisson	5%	7%
Piling – Sheet	3%	1%
Concrete	7%	10%
Steel – Fabrication	8%	10%
Steel – Module	8%-10%	15%
Steel – Stick-Build	5%-10%	12%
Architectural/Buildings	6%-10%	8%
Equipment	5%	0%
Piping – Fabrication	5%-10%	10%
Piping – Module	5%-10%	10%
Piping – Stick-Build	5%-10%	10%
Steam Tracing	15%	8%
Electrical Equipment	5%	0%





	Project Estir	nate	_
Cumulative	Indicated	Estimated	
Probability	Funding	Contingency	
of Underrun	Amount		
	(Million \$)	(Million \$)	(%)
10%	\$22.3		
20%	\$23.3		
30%	\$24.2		
40%	\$24.8		
50%	\$25.4	\$2.1	9.0%
60%	\$26.0		
70%	\$26.6	\$3.3	14.2%
80%	\$27.4		
90%	\$28.6		
Karim El-Dash	www.projacs.com		307



















Projacs	Re	conciliati	ion	_
1	Previous Estimate	Current Estimate	Variance \$	Variance %
Sitework	\$250,000	\$275,000	+\$25,000	+9.1%
Concrete	\$525,000	\$475,000	-\$50,000	-10.5%
Ka	rim El-Dash	www.projacs.com		317



















Element Cost Plan							
вс	Construction Limited, Northbridge	COST FEE	DBACK				
acte	ory for Hitech Cables Limited	GIFA (m ²)	3 1 2 0				
	Element	Element cost	Bate £/m ³				
1	Substructure	186 450	60				
2	Superstructure						
	Frame	207 410	66				
	Roof coverings	120 360	39				
	Roof drainage	11 520	4				
	External walls	96 580	31				
	Windows	23 950	8				
	External doors	16 580	5				
	Internal walls	8 780	3				
_	Internal doors	15 340	5				
з	Internal finishes						
	Wall finishes	17860	6				
	Floor tinishes	10 050	3				
_	Ceiling finishes	5 960	2				
4	Fittings and furniture	7 250	2				
ь	Services	7 110					
	Sanitary appliances	/ 410	2				
	Het and cold water	ine					
	Hot and cold water						
	Electrical installation	25 550	10				
	Biolo	9 690	1				
~	External warks	0 000	· ·				
0	Site works	126 550	4 -				
	Drainago	20 000					
	External services	5 120					
~	Preliminaries	144 550	46				
á	Contingencies	56 280	10				
<u> </u>	Contingencies	30 200	10				
9	Budget total	£ 1167260	£ 374				

Element Cost Plan							
вс	onstruction Limited, Northbridge	COST FEEL	BACK	NEW PROJEC			
		Hitech C	ables	Pluto Blinds			
		GIFA	3 1 2 0	2 860			
	Element	Element cost	Cost £/m²	New budget			
1	Substructure	186 450	60	170 913			
2	Superstructure		1 1				
-	Erame	207 4 10	66	190 126			
	Boot coverings	120 360	39	110 330			
	Boot drainage	11 520	4	10.560			
	External walls	96 580	31	88 532			
	Windows	23 950		21 954			
	External doors	16 580	Ĕ	15 198			
	Internal Walle	0 700		0.049			
	Internal doors	15 3 4 0	5	14 062			
з	Internal finishes						
	Wall finishes	17 860	6	16 372			
	Floor finishes	10 0 50	з	9 213			
	Ceiling finishes	5 960	2	5 463			
4	Fittings and furniture	7 250	2	6 646			
5	Services	7.110					
	Sanitary appliances	7 4 10	~ ~	6 /93			
	Internal drainage	110	100				
	Hot and bold water	25 5 5 0		09,404			
	Fleating Electricity	25 550		23 421			
	PIALC	36 870	12	00 /20			
		0.000	· · ·	5 526			
6	External works		1 1				
	Site works	126 550	41	116 004			
	Drainage	33 210		30 443			
	External services	8 120	-	4 693			
7	Preliminaries	144 550	46	132 504			
8	Contingencies	56 280	18	51 590			
9	Budget total	£ 1167260	£ 374	£ 1069988			

	Element Cost Plan												
	Surface weighbridge (15 m long)	quant	unit	rate	total	lab	plt	m at	s/c	LAB	PLT	MAT	S/C
A B C D E F G H J K L M P O R O T U V X Y	Excavate to reduce lev ne 1.0 m dp Excavate for thickening & downstand Load and remove to tip on site Backfill with selected material Level and compact Earthwork support Hardcore (Free Issue) Blind with dust (Free Issue) Soil stabilization mat Concrete grade 40 N in foundation Concrete grade 40 N in ramps Concrete grade 40 N in upstands Concrete grade 40 N in plinths Fiebar 12 mm (upstand & downstand) Fiebar 16 mm Fabric A393 Dowel bars 26 mm Form plinths 900×900×250 mm high Sawn formwork to sides of upstands Cast in service duct Grouting baseplates on return visit Steel bumper stops	23 9 17 15 79 6 18 6 79 17 15 6 1 1 0.6 6 0.12 280 30 4 4 77 17 17 17 12 84	m ³ m ³ m ² m ² m ² m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ t t t m ² m ³ m ³ t t t t m ² m ³ m ³ m ³ t t t t m ² m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ⁴ m ² m ² m ² m ³ m ⁴ m ² m ³ m ⁴ m ² m ³ m ⁴ m ³ m ⁴ m ² m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ³ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ³ m ⁴ m ³ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ³ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴ m ⁴	8.94 24.86 7.96 5.64 0.74 9.61 9.61 129.27 120.96 1068.89 994.42 129.27 120.96 1068.89 994.32 6.79 3.30 32.32 34.80 38.10 16.58 256.87 3.16	205.62 223.66 135.32 84.60 58.46 19.38 101.52 40.92 105.07 1634.04 1491.60 775.62 120.98 641.33 119.32 1901.20 99.00 129.28 1635.60 647.70 16.58 256.87 894.60	3.97 19.88 2.32 0.68 1.07 2.32 0.33 0.42 23.20 26.52 49.72 41.43 356.29 331.44 1.41 1.66 21.56 23.20 24.86 23.71 6 0.99 6 207.15 0.67	4.97 7.96 3.32 0.16 2.16 3.32 0.33	0.91 72.92 79.66 712.60 662.88 1.66 10.77 11.60 13.26 6.63 49.72 2.48		91 179 36 46 6 220 33 394 398 298 41 214 40 396 50 86 1090 422 100 0 0 100 0 1000 1000	1114 45 50 13 13 60 20	72 1 240 1 094 477 800 428 800 1 506 500 433 545 225 7 7 50 704	
	Karim El-Dash			TOTALS	11 338 w.projacs.	com		check	11 338	4 287	450	6 601 329	-

Projacs	Р	rov	visi	ona	al S	ums
СВС	CONSTRUCTION LIMIT	ED	PC & Pro	ovisiona	lsums	Project Lifeboat Station Ref. No: T384 Date: 14.6.04
Bill ref:	Description	Prov sums	Prime o	ost sums Discount	Nett	Notes for pricing preliminaries
	PCSUMS			1		Special attendances
6/1a	Structural steelwork (Steelbuild Limited)		29 000	675	22 4 25	Good access roads and hardstanding
6/1 e	Electrical installation (name not given)		15600	390	15210	Scatfolding Covered storage
6/2a	Fire doors (nominated supplier)		3 840	192	3 648	
	PROVISIONAL SUMS					Prelims for defined prov sums:
6/2m	Contingencies	5 0 0 0				
6/2n	Drainage to sump	1 0 0 0				
6/2p	Glazed roof over entrance	3 500				Scaffolding Protection and cleaning
6/9	Daywork — labour add 110%	1 000 1 1 00				
	Daywork - materials add 15%	500 75				
	Daywork — plant add 60%	500 900				
	Totals:	12975	42440	1157	41 283	
	Karim El-Dash		w	ww.projacs.	com	330

Projace	Trade Abstract									
Trade		Bill pages	Spec pages	Estr	Quant	Unit	Lab	Plt	Mat	Total
D20	Earthworks	3/1-5, 4/35-37	2/1-12	JM	1075	mэ	7800	7 980	5 700	21 480
R12	Drainage	5/1-34	2/56-65	JM	823	m	8 650	6 520	9 520	24690
E10	Concrete work	3/5-7, 4/38-40	2/13-15	JM	956	m³	12 520	3750	51 840	68110
E30	Reinforcement	3/11, 4/43	2/15-16	JM	76	t	13 680		24 700	38380
E20	Formwork	3/7-10, 4/40-42	2/17-22	JM	2150	me	30 950		16 530	47 480
E40	Concrete sundries	3/7,11-13,4/44,45	2/14	JM			2 150		3 180	5330
F31	Precast concrete	4/46	2/35	PC			1 850		8 250	10100
F10	Brickwork	3/19-22	2/24-28	PC	76	th	18 460		19 520	37 980
F11	Blockwork	3/20	2/27-32	PC	3 100	m²	18 880		17 450	36330
F30	Brick sundries	3/22,23	2/24	PC			4 520		5 250	9770
G20	Timber	3/28-31	2/39-44	PC	4 210	m	5 150		6.210	11360
P20	Joinery	3/31-38	2/39-46	PC			2 380		5310	7690
G12	Metalwork	3/29,39	2/47-48	PC			2 680		8 450	11130
P31	BWIC	3/55		JM			2110		2 030	4140
	Attendances	6/1		JM			410		385	795
					Totals		132 190	18 250	184 325	334765
Karim El-Dash www.projacs.com							331			



















BIM	
mple Question	
sion Specification includes	
NAME PROJECTS COM	341
	BIM mple Question sion Specification includes



Projac	jacs	_
	<u>Workshop</u> : Cost Estima	ting
	C3	_ 1
2.	2. Assuming the cost for a 150 Ton/Day Waste to Energy Plan normalized for location and escalation. The adjusted cos TPD Plant is \$80M. After analysis, the cost/capacity facto be .65. What is the cost for a 90 TPD Plant?	nt has been t for the 150 r is assumed to
А	A. \$48.0M	
B.	B. \$57.4M	
C	C. \$59.8M	
D	D. \$111.5M	
	Karim El-Dash www.projacs.com	343



	C3	
4. Whi	ich of the following is true regarding "allowances	?"
A. Alle	lowances are never required in an estimate.	
B. Allo est	owances are most often used when preparing detail timates.	ed or deterministic
C. Allo det	lowances are always calculated based as a percentag etailed cost components of the estimate.	ge of some other
D. Alle to a	lowances are the amounts added to an originally de achieve a given probability of not overrunning the	fined point estimate estimate.









ojacs	*** * *		
-	Worksh	<i>iop</i> : Cost Estima	ting
		<u> </u>	
). Estir	nate accuracy te	ends to improves as?	
A. The	amount of cont	ingency included in the estimate inc	reases.
3. The	amount of conti	ngency included in the estimate dec	reases.
C. The	level of detail ir	n the estimate increases.	
). The	level of project	definition used to prepare the estimation	ate improves.
	Karim El-Dash	www.projacs.com	350

	<i>Workshop</i> : Cost Estimating
	C3
10. A	key element of estimate-schedule integration is?
A. Scl th	hedule impacts may directly affect labor productivity adjustments in e estimate, as well as labor and material pricing.
B. The an	ere should be a one-to-one relationship between estimate cost items ad schedule activities.
C. Ch be	anges in the project schedule only affect the amount of escalation to included in the estimate.
D. Th sc	e estimate is usually not prepared in correlation with a specific hedule.
































